

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/082,265	02/26/2002	Kenneth J. Krauss	87356.2180	6422		
30734 7	590 09/03/2004	09/03/2004 EXAMINER				
BAKER + HOSTETLER LLP			RIDLEY, RICHARD			
WASHINGTON SQUARE, SUITE 1100 1050 CONNECTICUT AVE. N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTON, DC 20036-5304 365				<u>-</u>		

DATE MAILED: 09/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	lo.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/082,265		KRAUSS ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Richard Ridle	у	3651			
The MAILING DA Period for Reply	TE of this communication app	pears on the co	ver sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE MAILING DATE OF Extensions of time may be avaing after SIX (6) MONTHS from the If the period for reply specified of If NO period for reply is specified. Failure to reply within the set or	TTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THIS COMMUNICATION. Lable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 mailing date of this communication. above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of above, the maximum statutory period wextended period for reply will, by statute, a later than three months after the mailing See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, h y within the statutory will apply and will exp , cause the application	owever, may a reply be tim minimum of thirty (30) days pire SIX (6) MONTHS from on to become ABANDONEI	ely filed swill be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1) Responsive to cor	mmunication(s) filed on 17 A	ugust 2004.					
2a) This action is FIN	AL. 2b)⊠ This	action is non-	final.				
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4a) Of the above of 5) ☐ Claim(s) is 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is a 7) ☐ Claim(s) is a factor of the above of the	re rejected.	wn from consic					
Application Papers							
10) The drawing(s) file Applicant may not re Replacement drawing	s objected to by the Examine of on 25 August 2003 is/are: equest that any objection to the ong sheet(s) including the correct ation is objected to by the Examine	a)⊠ accepted drawing(s) be h tion is required i	eld in abeyance. Seef the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	ent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	•	$\overline{}$				

Application/Control Number: 10/082,265

Art Unit: 3651

DETAILED ACTION

Request for Continued Examination

Receipt is acknowledged of the request for a Continued Examination (RCE) filed on 8-1. 17-04. The request for a RCE is acceptable and a RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sackett '669 in view of Lovette '261 and further in view of Huth '684 and further in view of Clancy '852 and further in view of Stock '518.

Sackett discloses all of the claim limitations in a similar feeding device, the device comprising a(n):

- Conveyor belt (2)
- Material inlet (1)
- Movable plate (fig. 1) having a hinged end and a free end pivotally mounted
- ➤ Hinge (fig. 1)

Application/Control Number: 10/082,265

Art Unit: 3651

٩

Fixed plate (fig. 1)

> Power actuator or means for urging (4)

Sackett does not disclose the use of a power actuator that is an air cylinder.

Lovette teaches the use of a power actuator (32) that is an air cylinder for the purpose of providing for a means to control the degree of opening and closing of a gate at the input of a conveyor belt (C3/L40-59).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have employed the use of a power actuator that is an air cylinder, as taught by Lovette, in the device of Sackett for the purpose of providing for a means to control the degree of opening and closing of a gate at the input of a conveyor belt.

Additionally, Sackett does not disclose the material inlet having a width in the forward conveying direction that is at least half the length of the top path length.

In a weighing device similar to Sackett, Huth '684 teaches an arrangement where the material inlet has a width in the forward conveying direction that is at least half the length of the top path length.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have employed either a shorter belt such that the material inlet would have a width in the forward conveying direction that is at least half the length of the top path length, or a wider material inlet such that the material inlet would have a width in the forward conveying direction that is at least half the length of the top path length since such an arrangement would have been

Art Unit: 3651

merely a matter of design choice, such an arrangement having been arrived at and taught by

Huth, and since the applicant has not disclosed that such an arrangement solves any stated

problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally
well with such an arrangement as shown by Huth.

Sackett does not disclose the use of a pair of side skirts or a rear end skirt.

Re clm 9, Clancy '852 teaches the use of a pair of side skirts for the purpose of providing for a means to guide the flow of material onto the belt and prevent spillage at the belt sides.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have employed the use of a pair of side skirts, as taught by Clancy, in the device of Sackett for the purpose of providing for a means to guide the flow of material onto the belt and prevent spillage at the belt sides.

Re clm 10, Stock '518 teaches the use of a rear end skirt for the purpose of providing for a means to control the flow of material onto the belt and prevent spillage at the rear backside of the belt.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have employed the a rear end skirt, as taught by Stock, in the device of Sackett for the purpose of providing for a means to guide the flow of material onto the belt and prevent spillage at the backside of the belt.

Application/Control Number: 10/082,265 Page 5

Art Unit: 3651

Conclusion

1. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard Ridley whose telephone number is (703) 306-5910. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur 7:00 am - 5:15 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Ellis can be reached on (703) 308-1113. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Richard Ridley Examiner Art Unit 3651

Richard Ridley
1 Sept 2004